

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

ROBERT HOPKINS, III,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,

*Defendant.*

No. 3:22-CV-00706-E

**DECISION REQUESTED BY  
JUNE 9, 2023**

**[AMENDED] JOINT RENEWED MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION**

The parties hereby respectfully request that the Court resolve their scheduling motions (ECF Nos. 13, 15, and 17) on an expedited basis, with a decision requested by this Friday, June 9, 2023. The parties are filing this renewed request out of an abundance of caution, given the fact that several of the deadlines in Judge Cummings's original scheduling order (ECF No. 12) are impending and that the case was only recently reassigned to the Court.

This case involves a challenge by a former Air Force Captain, who seeks to publish several manuscripts, to the pre-publication review process administered by Defendant. *See* Complaint, ECF No. 1. The parties have sought to stay or amend various deadlines in the scheduling order previously entered by Judge Cummings in this matter. Specifically,

- Plaintiff has filed a **Motion for Status Conference**, which Defendants do not oppose if the Court would find it helpful. *See* ECF No. 13.
- Plaintiff has also filed a **Motion to Stay Future Deadlines**, in which he asks the Court to stay the deadlines in the original scheduling order for motions for summary judgment, the completion of mediation, the completion of discovery, motions in limine, and trial, pending the resolution of his Motion for Status Conference and a forthcoming motion to compel Defendant to process his counsel for access to classified information and provide access if the processing does not identify counsel as a security risk. *See* ECF No. 15.

- Defendant has filed a **Cross-Motion to Amend Scheduling Order**, which asks the Court to stay all discovery, mediation, and other deadlines, including any deadline to respond to Plaintiff's forthcoming motion to compel, pending the resolution of Defendant's forthcoming motion for summary judgment. Defendant has also asked the Court to extend the deadline for motions for summary judgment to August 11, 2023. *See* ECF No. 17.

The core dispute between the parties is whether to proceed, as Plaintiff requests, by first resolving whether Plaintiff's counsel is entitled to be processed for access to classified information, and then to be provided access to classified information if the processing does not identify him as a security risk; or, as Defendant requests, by first determining whether the case can be resolved by summary judgment filings and an *ex parte, in camera* affidavit explaining the basis for the government's redactions to Plaintiff's manuscripts, before considering whether to order Defendant to process Plaintiff's counsel for access to classified information, to order Defendant to provide counsel with access to classified information if processing does not identify him as a security risk, and to permit discovery. Both parties agree, however, that the current deadlines for motions for summary judgment, the completion of mediation, the completion of discovery, motions in limine, and trial, should either be stayed or extended.

However, several of those deadlines are impending, including the deadlines for motions for summary judgment and the completion of mediation, which currently fall on June 15. ECF No. 12 at 1. The parties previously requested a decision on their scheduling motions by June 5. Given that the case was recently reassigned to the Court, and to provide clarity on the governing schedule for further proceedings, the parties renew their request and ask for a decision on these motions by June 9. For ease of reference, the parties' proposed orders are attached.

Dated: June 8, 2023

/s/ Kelly B. McClanahan  
Kelly B. McClanahan, Esq.  
N.D. Tex. Bar #984704DC

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN M. BOYNTON  
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

National Security Counselors  
4702 Levada Terrace  
Rockville, MD 20853  
301-728-5908  
240-681-2189 fax  
[Kel@NationalSecurityLaw.org](mailto:Kel@NationalSecurityLaw.org)

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO  
Deputy Branch Director

/s/ John T. Lewis  
JOHN T. LEWIS (TX Bar No. 24095074)  
RACHAEL WESTMORELAND (GA Bar  
No. 539498)  
Trial Attorneys  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
1100 L Street NW, Rm. 12002  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
Tel: (202) 353-0533  
E-mail: [john.t.lewis.iii@usdoj.gov](mailto:john.t.lewis.iii@usdoj.gov)

*Attorneys for Defendant*

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I hereby certify that on June 7, 2023, I conferred by email with counsel for Plaintiff concerning the relief requested in this filing. Counsel for Plaintiff indicated that Plaintiff joined in the request for expedited consideration.

/s/ John T. Lewis  
John T. Lewis

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 8, 2023, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically via the Court's ECF system, which effects service upon counsel of record.

/s/ John T. Lewis  
John T. Lewis